

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,276	•	09/26/2003	Gueorgui Bonov Chkodrov	003797.00623	4935
28319	7590	05/01/2008		EXAMINER	
BANNER		OFF, LTD. CLIENT NOS. 00379	7 & 012707		
1100 13th S			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1200	)	20005-4051		DATE MAILED: 05/01/2008	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ass				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief 444 (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/670,276	CHKODROV ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Pham, Khanh	2166					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
The Appeal Brief filed on 21 April 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.								
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within <b>ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS</b> from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. <b>EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.</b>								
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.							
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).							
3. 🛚	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).							
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).							
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))							
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for each groun	d of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR				
7. 🗌	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	e appealed claims as an appendi	x thereto (37 CF	R				
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).							
9	The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interferent $41.37(c)(1)(x)$ ).							
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):						

LASHAWN HINTON
PATENT APPEAL CENTER SPECIALIST

Section IV Status of amdts. list a incorrect date of the Final office action should read (6-27-07). A entire brief is not needed for this correction only the section found defective.